

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,701	07/10/2003	B. Ryland Wiggs	N9556-MJP	1567	
23456	7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER		
WADDEY & PATTERSON 1600 DIVISION STREET, SUITE 500			ALI, MOHA	ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
NASHVILLE, TN 37203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3744		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,701

Art Unit: 3744

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 38, 40, 42, 44 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Balch (4,205,718). Balch discloses a solar-earth thermal heat system comprising a solar collector 10 and the heat acquired by the solar collector 10 is conveyed by means of fluid tubing/conduit 40/42; and the solar heat is transferred by a solar heat to direct expansion system refrigerant fluid heat exchange means 110 to the refrigerant fluid in a direct expansion system, the tubing 40/42 being heat transfer tubing is inherently insulated by, a pump 112 is provided for operating or terminating the operation of solar heat transfer system, an inverted U tube with pipe 142. See Fig. 1, 4 and 8..

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balch.

Balch discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Balch does not disclose the refrigerant fluid heat exchange means at an elevation above the

Art Unit: 3744

solar heat collector. Choosing the location of the refrigerant fluid heat exchanger with respect to the solar heat collector is an obvious choice of an individual skilled in the art since there is no criticality or unexpected result from it.

G

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 39, 43 and 45 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/07/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argued, "Applicant fully repeats and incorporates by reference all prior arguments, and respectfully requests the Examiner to review Pages 1-8 of Applicant's Specification, as well as the patents cited by Applicant therein, wherein more than ample distinguishing features are fully and correctly explained. After such a review, it will be apparent to one skilled in the art that the Balch designs are not applicable to a DX heating system, but are instead applicable only to a conventional airsource heat pump system design only." The Examiner again disagrees. It does not need to make a clear distinction to between a DX (direct expansion system) with a non-direct expansion system by 8 Page specifications. Moreover, the specification does not make anywhere such distinction. However, Applicant is requested specifically the DX (direct expansion system) from non-direct expansion system by referring certain specific lines of the specification. The declaration of the Applicant makes no difference. Because he specifically does not explain how the definition of DX (direct expansion system) given by Genung et al., can be nullified. Unless Genung's definition for DX (direct expansion

Application/Control Number: 10/616,701 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

system) is nullified Examiner considers his prior at is genuine and thus the rejections are correct.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad M. Ali whose telephone number is (571) 272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MOHAMMAD M. ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER